LANDSCAPE PROTECTION

The new front-line in the war against fear
Since the word’s first use during the French Revolution, the concept of ‘Terrorism’ has been on an evolutionary journey. At its most fundamental, it describes the use of intentionally indiscriminate violence as a means to create terror or fear to achieve a political, religious or ideological aim. In our lifetimes, the nature of terrorism has undergone significant change. Previously terrorists sought to make a statement - targeting high-profile, high-impact locations that would create scenes of shock & awe on the evening news and the front-pages. But attacks either side of the millennium heralded the end of terrorism as we knew it.

The days when terrorism meant large, complex bombs and months of planning are gone.

Counter Terror Business (1)
A NEW STEP IN TERROR DEVELOPMENT

The Manchester and London Docklands truck-bombings in 1996 struck at the heart of the nation’s two biggest cities, creating wide-ranging damage to property. 9/11 in 2001 took this kind of attack to another level - for the first time putting mass murder up front and centre for a world now watching 24 hour rolling news. The one thing these attacks have in common is that they all required skills, resources, logistics, funding, planning, co-ordination, training - an organisational structure - to make them happen. 16 years on, terrorism is currently embarking on a new step in its development.

In the aftermath of the Westminster attack in March 2017, the BBC’s home affairs correspondent, Dominic Casciani wrote: “The days when terrorism exclusively meant large, complex bombs and months of planning are largely gone: western security agencies - particularly MI5 and its partner agencies - are very, very good at identifying those plots and disrupting them. The longer it takes to plan such an attack, the more people who are involved, the more chances there will be for security services to learn what is going on.”

Rather than face the exposure risks of managing ‘operational structures’ over an extended planning period, terrorist organisations now effectively ‘freelance-out’ attacks to anyone with the inclination and a broadband connection. Driven by developments in online communications, terrorist organisations are now recruiting, encouraging and facilitating actions by remote ‘supporters’, agitating disenfranchised individuals who only share a common (and often distorted) world view to take direct action - and then claiming credit for any outcomes. According to the recent Policy Exchange report ‘The New Network: Countering Extremist Terrorism Online’, an average week sees over 100 new articles, videos and newspapers produced by Isis and disseminated across a vast ecosystem of platforms, file-sharing services, websites and social media.

And the UK is an eager audience, with Britain listed as the fifth most frequent location from which content is accessed after Turkey, the US, Saudi Arabia and Iraq. Indeed, the UK registered the largest number of clicks in Europe. Lead author of the report, Martyn Frampton, said: “The evidence suggests that we are not winning the war against online extremism and we need to consider options for change.”

It’s disturbingly ironic that the advanced technology used in the conversion, motivation and recruitment of extremists contrasts so greatly with the 21st Century urban terrorist’s weapon of choice.

Dominic Casciani again: “Terrorism looks not just to kill and maim - but to create panic and such a sense of disorder that it rocks a city or nation to its foundations… (the Westminster) attacker sought to do so in as low-tech way as is possible.”

Stripped down to the bare-bones of an individual with motivation, intent and access to a vehicle, attacks like this have pared down the timeline between planning and execution to a matter of hours.

“Terrorism looks not just to kill and maim - but to create panic and such a sense of disorder that it rocks a city or nation to its foundations. ”

Dominic Casciani
Vehicle-related terror attacks are on the rise. Why? Because this method of attack is “simple and, potentially, undetectable until it happens”. There have been eight vehicular attacks in Europe alone in the last year – and ISIS’ media channel has warned that we can expect even more.

This new automotive threat has stimulated what has become a standard reaction in our cities. According to the EU Terrorism Situation and Trends report, 2017, there has been a reciprocal increase in the number of anti-terror barrier installations around city landmarks. But fortification of the public realm in this way sends mixed signals.

Whilst concrete anti-terror barriers send a visible message that cities are taking security seriously, they also strongly imply a NEED to take security seriously - which creates doubt, raises public anxiety and actually contributes to an environment of fear. In a recent Guardian article, Simon Jenkins wrote: “Parts of central London already look cowed and afraid, as ugly barriers go up around tourist sites.”

A recent poll tells us that only 15% of people say that concrete anti-terror barriers make them feel safer. 25% of respondents said barriers are an over-reaction. Most interestingly, the 48% in favour of barriers stated that this is only because they FEEL that they are essential in relation to the current terrorism threat.

And it is this PERCEIVED scale of the threat that perpetuates the fear that terrorists want us to feel.

The white van has become the poor man’s guided missile. We must work out how to retain a balance between defacing cities and averting risk.

“Barcelona is Europe’s seventh vehicle attack in a year. What can be done?”

Simon Jenkins, Guardian.com
Friday 18 August 2017
 Whilst the battle is primarily against terrorism, those responsible for designing - and securing - our cities are fighting a secondary battle: with human nature. At its most basic level, people who are more fearful of an imminent terror attack also perceive the threat of an attack to be higher than those who are less fearful. It's a vicious circle: a higher perception of risk leads to individuals feeling as though there is a higher level of threat. And as the presence of visible anti-terror security measures have been found to increase levels of suspicion, tension and fear amongst the public, this heightens an individual's perception of the risk of terrorism – which leads to increased feelings of threat. It's a reaction that is hard-wired into our brains. Anxiety worsens cognitive functioning as our attention is drawn towards threatening stimuli such as anti-terror barriers. Individuals are then preoccupied with the source of the threat, as their attention and coping resources are drawn away from the non-threatening stimuli of day to day life so there is a focus on a potential threat. The outcome is inevitable: in seeking to protect public spaces, the action of fortifying our city centres actually increases fear among the public in those spaces. So how can we protect people without turning our public spaces into environments of fear?

"Security measures such as barricades were found to intimidate the public and promote the feeling of imminent threat."

The Visibility of Precaution: The Aesthetics of Planning Urban Defences Against Terrorism by Jon Coaffee, Paul O'Hare and Marian Hawkesworth

Only 15% of people say that concrete anti-terror barriers make them feel safer.
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PART 4
THE DESIGN CHALLENGE

The threat of terrorists targeting crowded public places provides urban planners and designers with a new and complex challenge. The need to create safe spaces offers those responsible for their design and protection a difficult compromise between maintaining the open, liveable nature of the public realm and the necessity for security - especially in those cities that have built global reputations on their aesthetic attraction. This dichotomy - and our attempt to address the issue thus far - does raise a fundamental question about how the inclusion of effective security will change the nature of the urban spaces we share.

In her study 'Invisible Security: The impact of counter-terrorism on the built environment' Rachel Briggs writes: “It has been argued that ‘security’ has become justification for measures that threaten the core of urban social and political life - from the physical barricading of space to the social barricading of democratic activity - that rising levels of security in cities will reduce the public use of public space”.

And we'd agree: what societal purpose would a fortified public space serve if it made the public feel more fearful and less social?

"Achieving a positive relationship between counter-terrorism and the built environment will rest on our ability to find ways of bringing together those who design spaces with those who secure them and those who use them, to explore new urban forms which can deliver people-centred counter-terrorism." - Rachel Briggs

As terrorists have re-thought their tactics, we considered it important to re-think the way in which cities protect themselves from the growing threat of vehicular attack. How can security be more subtly integrated in the design of our public spaces? Unobtrusive, unthreatening - effectively hiding in plain sight.

That's a subtle, but vital observation: that it's really the people within our urban landscapes that require protection, not merely the architecture and the infrastructure.

And we placed that at the heart of our new approach to creating safer urban spaces.

Methods and tactics are increasingly novel, innovative and strategically aimed at soft targets and more generally crowded places that cannot be altered without radically changing how citizens experience the city - but it doesn't have to be so.

June 2017
As the UK’s foremost landscaping brand, Marshalls has watched the evolution of urban terrorism with interest. At the heart of our business has always been the desire to create spaces that enhance and improve the lives of those who use them. And in developing a response to the new terror threat, we have reinforced many of our core beliefs.

We believe that fortification breeds a climate of fear - so we should avoid it. We know that visible anti-terror barriers heighten people’s perception of the risk and their feelings of threat. So it makes sense to create a public realm that promotes a less fearful environment.

New terror tactics require new thinking on protection - and urban design must adapt to this new reality - with planners considering how to ‘design-out’ terrorism without changing how people use and feel about our city centres.

We believe that you can protect ‘soft’ assets from terrorism through landscape design - and do so in a way which is both effective, and which does not destroy the vibrancy of open, accessible city spaces.

We believe that security is not just about product specification. We think security on a human scale requires a considered approach - a landscape protection strategy that renders the environment safe by design - where the environment is created to intrinsically provide the protection people need, without an obvious show of ‘defence strength’.

Finally, we recognise the growing view among urban design experts that security features should be as unobtrusive as possible - subtly integrated into the city’s fabric.

If we can’t understand how our actions will resonate throughout politically complex systems, we become passive participants in a cycle that increases the risks of the things we want to avoid.

Phil McDuff - The Guardian: ‘Are we more at risk of terrorism than ever, or does it just feel like that?’
Marshall’s Landscape Protection is a new approach — a design, engineering and specification philosophy that enables highly effective protection to blend seamlessly into urban landscape design: allowing architects, planners and designers to install the highest levels of security without instilling fear.

Our developments in design, manufacture and technology negate the need for bulky and obtrusive security, enabling those who design our city spaces to think more creatively about how they can include Hostile Vehicle Mitigation within landscape design features.

The rise of ‘invisible security’ is seeing security shift from being a physical and visible function to something which is largely unseen by the human eye... encouraged by fans of good urban design who argue that obtrusive security robs a city of its soul. Indeed, invisible security offers exciting opportunities for urban development.

MARSHALLS' APPROACH

We believe that successful security should take a holistic approach. Marshalls' Landscape Protection is based on a series of increasingly resistant interventions that Deter, Deflect and Defend, a three-tier strategy designed to reduce the threat long before vehicles reach your assets.

DETER

Firstly we seek to create ground-level design recommendations to limit the speed or mitigate the angle of approaching traffic. This can include changes in road layout, the addition of traffic-calming features and the creation of a buffer-zone that demarcates traffic from pedestrian areas.

For example, the coloured and textured surface of our deterrent paving sends a clear visual message that says ‘you are not permitted in this area’, without the intervention of a concrete barrier.

In identifying that you have created such a buffer-zone, you can create an immediate perception that a space is under protection.

DEFLECT

Secondly we can create a discrete physical segregation that denies traffic access to pedestrianised areas.

Our Titan specialist vehicle high containment kerb system has been designed to prevent vehicles overrunning vulnerable areas adjacent to the carriageway. Not only does Titan provide a clear visual warning to drivers, its unique profile design redirects vehicles back onto their intended path.

DEFEND

Thirdly, we can create a subtle, unobtrusive defensive line that stops vehicles in their tracks.

Our Landscape Furniture range allows you to implement a high level of integral Hostile Vehicle Mitigation, without compromising your aesthetic vision.

Designed around Marshalls’ ‘RhinoGuard’ technology, our range of PAS68 / IWA-14.1 rated street furniture offers all the stopping power of a concrete barrier with none of the negative associations: capable of stopping a seven and a half tonne truck travelling at up to 50mph.
Terrorism is a worrying fact of modern life. From shopping centres and sports stadia, to rail stations, leisure venues and our high streets, any space where people gather is now considered to be at risk. But protecting those spaces is a complex balance between making people feel safe and making them feel like they’re living in a controlled, militarised environment.

We believe that, in Landscape Protection, Marshalls is pioneering a paradigm shift in how architects, planners and engineers design security into the public realm. For us it’s not about putting down concrete barriers with no concern for the public mood. People deserve better spaces - and we can help you create them.

We also believe that security can be much more than simply installing heavy-duty fortification which offers little aesthetic value.

Our integrated Landscape Protection approach involves the application of creative thinking, using our engineering and design know-how to create spaces that are safer by design from the outset. By incorporating our experience and expertise from a broad range of landscape design disciplines with a range of PAS/WA-14.1 rated products in a selection of materials and finishes, we can help effectively mitigate hostile vehicular attacks without compromising the look, feel and safety of our urban environment.

Which is better for everyone.

79% of built-environment professionals said the number of projects requiring aesthetically focused perimeter protection has increased in the last three years.

72% believe the market needs more aesthetically-pleasing perimeter protection products.
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