

Choosing the best solution for human waste disposal

We've done the research to show you how effective pulp macerators can be compared to bedpan washers.

Over **FOUR MILLION PEOPLE** in the EU acquire a HCAI every year

Of these approximately **37,000** die as a direct result of the infection. IT'S ESTIMATED THAT 20 - 30% OF ALL SUCH INFECTIONS COULD BE PREVENTED BY BETTER HYGIENE AND INFECTION CONTROL PROCEDURES

CAN YOU AFFORD NOT to buy a Haigh macerator?

| Operating consideration | Quattro Vanguard pulp macerator | Any brand bedpan washer | Why not a washer disinfector? |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Quick cycle time | 82 seconds | 7 minutes | It is five times slower |
| Independently tested to be effective against all major HCAs | ✔ | ✘ | Cleaning isn't always effective; washing doesn't kill C. Difficile spores |
| Reduces the risk of infection transmission by a single use system | ✔ | ✘ | Washed items are returned to patients (they are not disposable items) |
| Electricity consumption per cycle | 0.01 kWh | 0.22 kWh | It uses 22 times more electricity |
| Low water consumption per cycle | 17 litres | 40 litres | It uses more than twice the amount of water |
| Uses cold water only to avoid lime scale deposits | ✔ | ✘ | Washers require a constant hot water supply plus highly increases the risk of lime scale deposits |
| Doesn't require weekly, quarterly and annual testing to national and/or international standards | ✔ | Estimated at £2,000 pa | Requires regular performance testing to HTM2030 specifications (plus ISO 15883-3 if used outside the UK) |
| Minimal service and maintenance requirements | ✔ | ✘ | Washers require regular maintenance to continue operating |
| Low environmental impact and carbon footprint | ✔ | ✘ | Container contents goes to landfill, creates more CO2 and chemical discharge |